# THERMAL EFFECTS ON THE CATALYSIS BY A MAGNETIC FIELD

Ashok Das

and

Marcelo Hott<sup>†</sup>

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of Rochester

Rochester, NY 14627

## Abstract

We show that the formation of condensates in the presence of a constant magnetic field in 2+1 dimensions is extremely unstable. It disappears as soon as a heat bath is introduced with or without a chemical potential. We point out some new nonanalytic behavior that develops in this system at finite temperature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>On leave of absence from UNESP - Campus de Guaratinguetá, P.O. Box 205, CEP : 12.500, Guaratinguetá, S.P., Brazil

## 1. Introduction:

Induced quantum numbers in 2+1 dimensional quantum field theories have been investigated in detail in the past [1-4]. There has been renewed interest in the subject [5-6] after the suggestion that in the presence of a constant magnetic field, in 2+1 dimensions, flavor symmetry is broken and fermions can generate a mass even at the weakest attractive interaction between the fermions [5]. More precisely, it was shown in ref. [5] that in the presence of a constant magnetic field, Dirac fermions (with two flavors combined into a four component spinor) develop a nonzero value for the condensate leading to a breakdown of the flavor symmetry. This, however, does not give the fermions a mass. On the other hand, if one now introduces an interaction of the Nambu-Jona Lasinio type, a fermionic mass is shown to be generated for any value of the interaction which can be physically thought of as arising due to the nonzero value of the condensate in the presence of the magnetic field.

The calculation of the condensates involves regularizing the infrared modes with a fermion mass term which is taken to zero at the end. In 2+1 dimensions, the value of the condensate is a discontinuous function of the fermion mass parameter and depends on how the zero mass limit is taken. (More explicitly, the condensate depends on the sign of the mass term in the Lagrangian.) A nonzero value of the condensate implies the breakdown of the flavor symmetry (chiral symmetry) of the system. In this short note, we will show that this formation of the condensates is a very unstable phenomenon. The condensates disappear as soon as a heat bath is introduced (for any finite temperature) with or without a chemical potential. Furthermore, the theory develops a new nonanalytic behavior at the zero temperature limit. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recapitulate briefly the calculation of the value of the condensate at zero temperature in a manner that extends to a finite temperature analysis naturally. In section 3, we show that the condensate disappears for any finite value of the temperature. We point out the nonanalytic structure of the condensates in the limit of zero temperature. We discuss the behavior of the thermal Bogoliubov transformations and show that the generator of these transformations becomes nonanalytic in this limit as well. We present a short discussion of this behavior of the condensates in section 4. We recognize [7] that there may be possible

questions related to the regularization dependence of the condensates. We do not address this question at all. Rather, all our calculations are carried out with the zeta function regularization.

## 2. Zero Temperature:

The standard calculation of the condensate, in the present theory, is carried out in a proper time representation [5] which is not very suitable for extension to finite temperature. In this section, therefore, we give an alternate derivation of the zero temperature result which readily extends to finite temperature. We follow the notation of ref. [5] for simplicity and take the Lagrangian for the theory in 2+1 dimensions to be

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(\gamma^{\mu}(i\partial_{\mu} - eA_{\mu}) - m)\Psi \tag{1}$$

where  $\Psi$  is a four component spinor involving two flavors, e is the electric charge and we choose the electromagnetic potential to have the form  $A_{\mu} = (0, 0, Bx)$  with B representing the constant, external magnetic field. The Landau levels can be easily calculated [8] and have the energy values (In our entire discussions, we will assume that eB > 0.)

$$E_n = \pm \sqrt{2eBn + m^2}$$
  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$  (2)

with a double degeneracy for the  $n \neq 0$  modes. The degeneracy in the y-component of the momentum,  $p_y = p$  is also understood. The orthonormal positive and negative energy eigenstates can also be worked out in a straightforward manner and have the forms,

$$\psi_1^{(+)}(n, p, \vec{x}, t) = N_n \exp\left(-i(|E_n|t - py|)\right) \begin{pmatrix} (|E_n| + m)I(n, p, x) \\ -\sqrt{2eBn}I(n - 1, p, x) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\psi_2^{(+)}(n, p, \vec{x}, t) = N_n \exp\left(-i(|E_n|t - py)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\sqrt{2eBn}I(n, p, x) \\ (|E_n| + m)I(n - 1, p, x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\psi_{1}^{(-)}(n, p, \vec{x}, t) = N_{n} \exp\left(i(|E_{n}|t - py)\right) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2eBn}I(n, -p, x) \\ (|E_{n}| + m)I(n - 1, -p, x) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\psi_{2}^{(-)}(n, p, \vec{x}, t) = N_{n} \exp\left(i(|E_{n}|t - py)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (|E_{n}| + m)I(n, -p, x) \\ \sqrt{2eBn}I(n - 1, -p, x) \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

where

$$N_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|E_n|(|E_n| + m)}}$$

$$I(n, p, x) = \left(\frac{eB}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \exp\left(-\frac{eB}{2}(x - p/eB)^2\right) H_n(\sqrt{eB}(x - p/eB))$$

$$I(n = -1, p, x) = 0$$
(4)

with the  $H_n$ 's representing the Hermite polynomials. There are only two ground state wavefunctions as can be seen from Eq. (3). Their structure depends on the sign of the mass term. For example, for m > 0, the two ground state wavefunctions have the simpler form

$$\psi^{(+)}(0, p, \vec{x}, t) = \exp\left(-i(|E_0|t - py)\right) \begin{pmatrix} I(0, p, x) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\psi^{(-)}(0, p, \vec{x}, t) = \exp\left(i(|E_0|t - py)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ I(0, -p, x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

To calculate the condensate, we expand the field operator in the basis of these wavefunctions as

$$\Psi(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{n} \sum_{i=1,2}^{\prime} \int \frac{dp}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( a_i(n,p)\psi_i^{(+)} + b_i^{\dagger}(n,p)\psi_i^{(-)} \right)$$
 (6)

where the prime in the sum over i represents the fact that this sum is only for the  $n \neq 0$  modes. The creation and the annihilation operators for the particle and the antiparticles satisfy the standard anticommutation relations, e.g.,

$$[a_i(n,p), a_i^{\dagger}(n',p')]_+ = \delta_{ij}\delta_{nn'}\delta(p-p') = [b_i(n,p), b_i^{\dagger}(n',p')]_+ \tag{7}$$

with all others vanishing. It is now straightforward to evaluate the condensate which has the value

$$\langle 0|\bar{\Psi}(\vec{x},t)\Psi(\vec{x},t)|0\rangle = -\frac{m}{|m|}\frac{eB}{2\pi} - \frac{meB}{\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{E_n}$$
 (8)

where  $E_n$  stands for the positive root given in Eq. (2). The second sum on the right hand side is a Hurwitz zeta function which in the limit of vanishing m reduces to the Riemann zeta function,  $\zeta_R(1/2)$  [9]. The Riemann zeta function,  $\zeta_R(s)$ , is an analytic function [10] in the entire complex plane except for a simple pole at s = 1. Its value at s = 1/2 is known to be  $\zeta_R(1/2) = -1.46$  [10-11]. Thus, we see that in the limit of vanishing mass, the condensate has the value

$$\langle 0|\bar{\Psi}(\vec{x},t)\Psi(\vec{x},t)|0\rangle = -\frac{m}{|m|}\frac{eB}{2\pi}$$
(9)

This is the same value as in ref. [5] (except for the missing sign of the mass factor).

## 3. Finite Temperature:

The transition to finite temperature is now straightforward. We use thermo field dynamics [12] for our discussion. Introducing a tilde field, we note that we can write a thermal doublet of fermionic fields as [12-14]

$$\Phi(\vec{x},t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(\vec{x},t) \\ \tilde{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\vec{x},t) \end{pmatrix}$$
 (10)

which can be expanded in terms of the wavefunctions derived earlier as

$$\Phi(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{n} \sum_{1=1,2}' \int \frac{dp}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a_i(n,p) \\ \tilde{a}_i^{\dagger}(n,p) \end{pmatrix} \psi_i^{(+)}(n,p,\vec{x},t) + \begin{pmatrix} b_i^{\dagger}(n,p) \\ \tilde{b}_i(n,p) \end{pmatrix} \psi_i^{(-)}(n,p,\vec{x},t) \right]$$
(11)

We can now introduce the thermal Bogoliubov transformation of the form

$$U(\theta) = \exp\left(iG(\theta)\right) \tag{12}$$

where the generator of the transformation is given by

$$G(\theta) = i \sum_{n} \sum_{i=1,2}^{\prime} \int dp \ [\theta_i^{(+)}(n)(\tilde{a}_i a_i - a_i^{\dagger} \tilde{a}_i^{\dagger}) + \theta_i^{(-)}(n)(\tilde{b}_i b_i - b_i^{\dagger} \tilde{b}_i^{\dagger})]$$
 (13)

and

$$\sin^{2} \theta_{i}^{(+)}(n) = n_{F}(E_{n})$$

$$\sin^{2} \theta_{i}^{(-)}(n) = 1 - n_{F}(-E_{n})$$

$$n_{F}(E_{n}) = \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(E_{n} - \mu)) + 1}$$
(14)

Here  $\beta = 1/kT$  and  $\mu$  represents the chemical potential. The thermal vacuum can now be defined as

$$|0,\beta\rangle = U(\theta)|0,\tilde{0}\rangle \tag{15}$$

The thermal creation and annihilation operators, similarly, can be obtained through the Bogoliubov transformation to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_i^{\beta}(n,p) \\ \tilde{a}_i^{\beta\dagger}(n,p) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_i^{(+)}(n) & -\sin\theta_i^{(+)}(n) \\ \sin\theta_i^{(+)}(n) & \cos\theta_i^{(+)}(n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_i(n,p) \\ \tilde{a}_i^{\dagger}(n,p) \end{pmatrix}$$
(16)

and similarly for  $b_i$  and  $\tilde{b}_i$ .

Given these, we can now calculate the value of the condensate in the thermal vacuum simply as follows.

$$\langle 0, \beta | \bar{\Psi} \Psi | 0, \beta \rangle = \sum_{n=1,2} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left[ \sin^2 \theta_i^{(+)}(n) \bar{\psi}_i^{(+)} \psi_i^{(+)} + \cos^2 \theta_i^{(-)}(n) \bar{\psi}_i^{(-)} \psi_i^{(-)} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{m}{|m|} \frac{eB}{2\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(|m| - \mu)) + 1} - \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(|m| + \mu)) + 1} \right)$$

$$- \frac{meB}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{E_n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(E_n - \mu)) + 1} - \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(E_n + \mu)) + 1} \right)$$
(17)

It is clear now that in the limit  $m \to 0$  (for finite  $\beta$ ),

$$\langle 0, \beta | \bar{\Psi} \Psi | 0, \beta \rangle = 0 \tag{18}$$

That is, the condensate vanishes for any finite, nonzero temperature.

It is also clear now that the structure of the condensate exhibits a nonanalyticity at finite temperature. This is best seen by setting  $\mu = 0$ . In this case, we note that we can take the limit

$$m \to 0 \quad \beta \to \infty \quad |m|\beta = \alpha$$
 (19)

Then, the value of the condensate, in this limit, can be obtained from Eq. (17) to be

$$\langle 0, \beta | \bar{\Psi} \Psi | 0, \beta \rangle \to -\frac{m}{|m|} \frac{eB}{2\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\exp(\alpha) + 1} \right)$$
 (20)

This shows that the order of the limits  $m \to 0$  and  $\beta \to \infty$  are not commutative. That is, the condensate is not analytic at T = 0. Finite temperature field theories are known to exhibit nonanalyticity [15-16], but this is a new kind of nonanalyticity, namely, the value of the condensate is nonanalytic at the origin in the (m, T) plane.

To understand the peculiar behavior of the condensate as well as the nonanalyticity at finite temperature, we analyze next the structure of the Bogoliubov transformation in Eqs. (12-13). And to simplify the analysis, we set the chemical potential  $\mu = 0$ . The first simplification that occurs in this case is that

$$\theta_i^{(+)}(n) = \theta_i^{(-)}(n)$$

and from Eq. (14), we note that there is really one  $\theta$  for every mode satisfying

$$\tan \theta(n) = \exp\left(-\frac{\beta E_n}{2}\right) \tag{21}$$

The generator of the Bogoliubov transformation, in this case, has the form

$$G(\theta) = i \sum_{n} \sum_{i=1,2}^{\prime} \int dp \; \theta(n) (\tilde{a}_i a_i - a_i^{\dagger} \tilde{a}_i^{\dagger} + \tilde{b}_i b_i - b_i^{\dagger} \tilde{b}_i^{\dagger})$$
 (22)

For  $m \neq 0$ , this indeed has the right behavior in that, as  $\beta \to \infty$ ,

$$\tan \theta(n) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \theta(n) = 0 \tag{23}$$

so that the Bogoliubov transformation simply reduces to the identity operator. However, for any finite  $\beta$  or temperature, if we let  $m \to 0$ , we note from Eq. (21) as well as from the definition of  $E_n$  that

$$\tan \theta(n=0) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \theta(n=0) = \frac{\pi}{4} \tag{24}$$

It is, of course, the ground state that contributes to the condensate in the vanishing mass limit and we see that for this mode  $\theta$  has the unique value of  $\pi/4$ . The thermal states generated by the Bogoliubov transformations can be thought of as squeezed states [17] which in some sense are polarized states. More specifically, for a four mode system, as is the case here  $(a, \tilde{a}, b, \tilde{b})$ , it can be easily checked that in a squeezed state parameterized by an angle  $\theta$ 

$$\langle \theta | a^{\dagger} a - b b^{\dagger} | \theta \rangle = -\cos 2\theta \tag{25}$$

Consequently, for  $\theta = \pi/4$ , the squeezed state acts as a crossed polarizer for the expectation value of this operator which vanishes. (This is the operator expectation value for the lowest mode which is responsible for the value of the condensate and this is how the value of the condensate vanishes.)

Let us also note that in the limit of Eq. (19), all the  $\theta(n)$ 's vanish except for the lowest energy mode which gives

$$\tan \theta(n=0) = \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \theta(n=0) = \theta(\alpha)$$
(26)

That is, in this case, the Bogoliubov transformation does not reduce to the identity operator and, in fact, depends on how the zero temperature and the zero mass limit is taken. This is the nonanalyticity in the condensates that we discussed earlier and we see that in this case, the generator of the Bogoliubov transformation, itself, becomes nonanalytic. Consequently, not only is the value of the condensate nonanalytic at the origin in the (m, T) plane, but most observables are likely to be. This, as we have pointed out, is a new kind of nonanalyticity at finite temperature.

## 4. Conclusion:

In this paper, we have pointed out that the formation of condensates in a 2+1 dimensional field theory, in the presence of a constant, external magnetic field, is a highly unstable phenomenon. As soon as a heat bath is introduced, the value of the condensate vanishes for any finite temperature. The thermal expectaion value appears to average over the two possible ways of taking the zero mass limit, namely,  $m \to 0^+$  and  $m \to 0^-$ , leading to a vanishing value for the condensate. Furthermore, we have pointed out how a new kind of finite temperature nonanalyticity develops in these theories. Namely, most observables

including the value of the condensate appear to be nonanalytic at the origin in the (m,T) plane.

One of us (A.D.) would like to thank Prof. N. P. Chang for discussions. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG-02-91ER40685. M.H. would like to thank the Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo for financial support.

## References:

- 1. I. Affleck, J. Harvey and E. Witten, Nuc. Phys. **B206**(1982)413.
- 2. A. Redlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**(1984)18; Phys. Rev. **D29**(1984)2366.
- 3. M. B. Paranjape, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**(1985)2390.
- D. Boyanovsky, R. Blanckenbecler and R. Yahalom, Nuc. Phys. B270(1986)483;
   Phys. Rev. D34(1986)612.
- 5. V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**(1994)3499.
- D. Cangemi, E. D'Hoker and G. V. Dunne, Phys. Rev. **D51**(1995)R2513; R. Parwani, preprint IP/BBSR/95-12, hep-th/9504020.
- 7. C. R. Hagen, "Comment on 'Catalysis of Dynamical Flavor Symmetry Breaking By a Magnetic...", Univ. Rochester preprint.
- 8. M. Kobayashi and M. Sakamoto, Prog. Theo. Phys. 70(1983)1375.
- 9. See for example, S. Blau, M. Visser and A. Wipf, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6(1991)5409.
- 10. H. M. Edwards, "Riemann's Zeta Function", Academic Press, 1974 (New York).
- 11. E. Jahnke and F. Emde, "Tables of Functions", Dover Publications, 1956 (New York).
- 12. H. Umezawa, H. Matsumoto and M. Tachiki, "Thermo Field Dynamics", North Holland Publishing, 1982 (Amsterdam).
- 13. I. Ojima, Ann. Phys. **137**(1981)1.
- 14. P. Elmfors, D. Persson and B. S. Skagerstam, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**(1993)480; see also preprint hep-ph/9312226.
- 15. H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. **D26**(1982)1394; *ibid* **D28**(1983)2007.
- H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. **D47**(1993)594; P. F. Bedaque and A. Das, Phys. Rev. **D47**(1993)601.
- 17. See, for example, H. Umezawa, "Advanced Field Theory: Micro, Macro and Thermal Physics", American Institute of Physics, 1993 (New York).